Breaking: In Australia, an effort to label all alternative (traditional, complementary) medicine products as “based on pseudoscience” has failed.

Traditional remedies (much older than mainstream medicines) are defended as appropriate, and can include health claims.

The Crazz Files, a major defender of health freedom in Australia, reports (http://crazzfiles.com/greens-leader-supports-skeptics-and-loses-fight-to-introduce-offensive-and-disrespectful-changes-for-complementary-medicines/): “In a major win, the Federal Government has ignored the Australian Greens and anti-complementary medicine activists like Doctor Ken Harvey…and passed a reform package that protects traditional medicine.”

“The Therapeutic Goods Amendment (2017 Measures No. 1) Bill, which passed Parliament on February 15, supports positive claims for complementary medicines based on traditional evidence, and abolishes the current complaints system.”

“Greens voters were shocked to learn Greens Leader and General Practitioner, Senator Dr Richard Di Natale was aligned with skeptics, whose platform is: ‘There is no alternative to [modern] Medicine’.”

“One of his [Dr. Di Natale’s] ‘concerns’ was that people were being ‘misled’ by traditional claims about the effectiveness of complementary medicine. He, and the skeptics, wanted labels on complementary and traditional medicines to state: ‘this traditional indication is not in accordance with modern medical knowledge and there is no scientific evidence that this product is effective’.”

“The Minister for Rural Health, Senator Bridget McKenzie, told Di Natale: ‘I think it is offensive and disrespectful to those who practice traditional medicine’.”

“‘For some, particularly those using Chinese medicine, the history of practising in that traditional medicine paradigm goes back thousands of years. It’s been extensively refined, practised and documented and in many cases incorporated into mainstream medicine. So, a statement required by
the Australian Government that the indication is not in accordance with modern medical knowledge and that there is no scientific evidence will be seen as arrogant and insensitive to those practising and using traditional Chinese medicines,’ Senator McKenzie said.”

Boom.

All right. Now I want to treat readers to a brief analysis of “modern medicine,” the so-called scientific system that is the “only valid system.” It is the system employed in Australia, America, and virtually all countries in the world.

People who watch the news or read mainstream news have the impression that “scientific” medical research is remarkably valid and always progressing.

Doctors and medical bureaucrats line up to confirm and ceaselessly push this view.

But they are concealing a dark truth.

Let’s go to the record. Here are two editors of two of the most prestigious and respected medical journals in the world. During their long careers, they have read and scrutinized more studies than any doctor, researcher, bureaucrat, or so-called medical blogger. And this is what they have written:

ONE: “It is simply no longer possible to believe much of the clinical research that is published, or to rely on the judgment of trusted physicians or authoritative medical guidelines. I take no pleasure in this conclusion, which I reached slowly and reluctantly over my two decades as an editor of The New England Journal of Medicine.” (Dr. Marcia Angell, NY Review of Books, January 15, 2009, “Drug Companies & Doctors: A Story of Corruption)

TWO: “The case against science is straightforward: much of the scientific literature, perhaps half, may simply be untrue. Afflicted by studies with small sample sizes, tiny effects, invalid exploratory analyses, and flagrant conflicts of interest, together with an obsession for pursuing fashionable trends of dubious importance, science has taken a turn towards darkness…

“The apparent endemicity of bad research behaviour is alarming. In their quest for telling a compelling story, scientists too often sculpt data to fit their preferred theory of the world. Or they retrofit hypotheses to fit their data. Journal editors deserve their fair share of criticism too. We aid and abet the worst behaviours. Our acquiescence to the impact factor fuels an unhealthy competition to win a place in a select few journals. Our love of ‘significance’ pollutes the literature with many a statistical fairy-tale…Journals are not the only miscreants. Universities are in a perpetual struggle for money and talent…” (Dr. Richard Horton, editor-in-chief, The Lancet, in The Lancet, 11 April, 2015, Vol 385, “Offline: What is medicine’s 5 sigma?”)

There are many ominous implications in these two statements. I will point out one.

Incompetent, error-filled, and fraudulent studies of medical drugs—for example, published reports on clinical trials of those drugs—would lead one to expect chaos in the field of medical treatment. And by chaos, I mean: the drugs cause widespread death and severe injury.

Again, if a person obtains his news from mainstream sources, he will say, “But I see no evidence of such a vast scandal.”
That is a conspiracy of silence. Because this widespread death and grievous harm HAS been reported. Where? In open-source medical literature.

For example: On July 26, 2000, the US medical community received a titanic shock, when one of its most respected public-health experts, Dr. Barbara Starfield, revealed her findings on healthcare in America. Starfield was associated with the Johns Hopkins School of Public Health.

The Starfield study, “Is US health really the best in the world?”, published in the Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA), came to the following conclusion, among others:

Every year in the US, correctly prescribed, FDA approved medical drugs kill 106,000 people. Thus, every decade, these drugs kill more than a MILLION people.

On the heels of Starfield’s astonishing findings, media reporting was rather perfunctory, and it soon dwindled. No major newspaper or television network mounted an ongoing “Medicalgate” investigation. Neither the US Department of Justice nor federal health agencies undertook prolonged remedial action.

All in all, those parties who could have taken effective steps to correct this ongoing tragedy preferred to ignore it.

On December 6-7, 2009, I interviewed Dr. Starfield by email. Here is an excerpt from that interview.

Q: What has been the level and tenor of the response to your findings, since 2000?

A: The American public appears to have been hoodwinked into believing that more interventions lead to better health, and most people that I meet are completely unaware that the US does not have the ‘best health in the world’.

Q: In the medical research community, have your medically-caused mortality statistics been debated, or have these figures been accepted, albeit with some degree of shame?

A: The findings have been accepted by those who study them. There has been only one detractor, a former medical school dean, who has received a lot of attention for claiming that the US health system is the best there is and we need more of it. He has a vested interest in medical schools and teaching hospitals (they are his constituency).

Q: Have health agencies of the federal government consulted with you on ways to mitigate the [devastating] effects of the US medical system?

A: NO.

Q: Are you aware of any systematic efforts, since your 2000 JAMA study was published, to remedy the main categories of medically caused deaths in the US?

A: No systematic efforts; however, there have been a lot of studies. Most of them indicate higher rates [of death] than I calculated.

Q: Did your 2000 JAMA study sail through peer review, or was there some opposition to publishing it?
A: It was rejected by the first journal that I sent it to, on the grounds that ‘it would not be interesting to readers’!

—end of interview excerpt—

Physicians are trained to pay exclusive homage to peer-reviewed published drug studies. These doctors unfailingly ignore the fact that, if medical drugs are killing a million Americans per decade, the studies on which those drugs are based must be fraudulent. In other words, the medical literature is suspect, unreliable, and impenetrable.

WHICH IS EXACTLY WHAT THE TWO ESTEEMED MEDICAL EDITORS I QUOTED ABOVE—MARCIA ANGELL AND RICHARD HORTON—ARE SAYING.

If you know a doctor who enjoys sitting up on his high horse dispensing the final word on modern medicine, you might give him the quotes from Dr. Angell and Dr. Horton, instruct him to read them, and suggest he get in touch with Angell and Horton, in order to discover what has happened to his profession.

As in: DISASTER.

(To read about Jon’s mega-collection, The Matrix Revealed, click here)

Jon Rappoport

The author of three explosive collections, THE MATRIX REVEALED (http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/the-matrix-revealed/), EXIT FROM THE MATRIX (http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/exit-from-the-matrix/), and POWER OUTSIDE THE MATRIX (http://marketplace.mybigcommerce.com/power-outside-the-matrix/), Jon was a candidate for a US Congressional seat in the 29th District of California. He maintains a consulting practice for private clients, the purpose of which is the expansion of personal creative power. Nominated for a Pulitzer Prize, he has worked as an investigative reporter for 30 years, writing articles on politics, medicine, and health for CBS Healthwatch, LA Weekly, Spin Magazine, Stern, and other newspapers and magazines in the US and Europe. Jon has delivered lectures and seminars on global politics,
health, logic, and creative power to audiences around the world. You can sign up for his free NoMoreFakeNews emails here (http://j.mp/1HyKCU1) or his free OutsideTheRealityMachine emails here (http://j.mp/1SfPuzL).

This entry was posted in Government Fraud, Medical Fraud, Science Fraud.

19 comments on “Shocking victory for proponents of alternative medicine”

Eliza Ayres says:
March 8, 2018 at 10:15 am
Reblogged this on Blue Dragon Journal and commented:
And the fight between alternative and traditional medicines versus “scientific” modern medicine goes on… All which will be moot when humans are conscious enough to heal their own bodies...

Reply
L says:
March 8, 2018 at 11:44 pm
Yes, Grasshopper...

Reply
sundancer55 says:
March 8, 2018 at 10:31 am
Now that the Aussie’s have seen the light maybe American medicine will do the same. It’ll take 100 years, but it might actually happen!!

Reply
Rixta Francis says:
March 8, 2018 at 12:31 pm
Once you start dissecting medical theories you come to the conclusion that there is very little science behind most of them. It’s all fantasy, assumptions and wishful thinking. So when I wrote a book about this I called it “Medical Mythology”. I’m not making myself popular with this book, but that was never my intention anyway.
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chefjemichel says:
March 9, 2018 at 6:24 am
Thank you Rixta!

I appreciate seeing your book at Amazon. Do you happen to have a link to the BMJ Clinical Evidence 2007 report that I can see? I wish to quote that. Best to send that to: chef at thesetruths dot com
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Thx1138 says:
March 9, 2018 at 9:56 am
More useful insight into the medical mafia.

---
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Michael Z Freeman (@BC_Man) says:
March 8, 2018 at 1:02 pm
First that good news from Australia. Great! So I can’t think of any other profession that would get away with the rest of what you report above. If the airline industry had planes falling out the sky it would be all over the news.

---
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bettyhill says:
March 8, 2018 at 3:09 pm
A lot of truth here again Jon.

Traditional medicines have been around for over 2,000 years in the far-east and the pre-runner of so-called modern pharmaceuticals which have been around in reality for a mere 150 years. Over those two thousand years they leaned what killed and what cured, unlike modern drugs that have not these time tested attributes and where many modern drugs have far greater side effects than the matter that they are trying to so-call cure.

The powerful mainstream pharmaceutical corporations would like to kill off these tried and tested traditional medicines that work, unlike their medicines that do not cure but only treat, keeping the perpetual motion profits coming in (the highest profit margin of any industrial or business sector).

A few years ago they tried to get their hands on the Vietnamese cure for hard drug addiction which is now used in their NHS. The reason why the agreement between the world’s largest pharma mafia outfit and the Vietnamese government fell apart in Switzerland was because pharma mafia wanted control of the patent. But the Vietnamese knew that if they let them have it, this cure would be shelved and never again see the light of day and humanity would lose out. A good thing that they were communists and not capitalist leaches who have no real feeling for humanity, only vast wealth.

But government agencies such as the UK’s Home Office are complicit in crime here and where I did a blog a few years ago about the same hard drug being introduced into the UK’s NHS. In this respect to show how corrupt the Home Office are, or should I say their senior civil servants (who are there after governments have come and got and in bed with the pharma mafia no matter what political persuasion due to the corruption that secret financial deals give them from the ‘big’ money boys).

The blog was entitled, “What ‘Successive’ Governments of the United Kingdom and Whitehall have covered-up and ‘Not’ told the British People about Hard Drug Addiction Treatments that simply will never work and the only one that does they have ‘secretly’ suppressed – https://worldinnovationfoundation.blogspot.co.uk/2014/01/what-successive-governments-and.html

The whole system is corrupt and where government, senior civil servants and big money mix it together. But the man and the women in the street in the main, have no idea of what is really going on and all because MSM are also owned and sold by big money.

---
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 henry says:
March 8, 2018 at 4:04 pm
To get a treatment approved by the FDA, a costly study must be performed. A remedy that cannot be patented cannot be marked up high enough to cover the cost of the study so the study is not done. Then the only evidence that the establishment looks at shows modern medicine and surgical procedures are the only things that can cure a disease.

Looking at the national traffic safety data, one can see that the most dangerous speed is 55 mph and the safest is 95 mph. The data is collected by police officers who ask when they arrive, how fast were you going? Most people say 55 mph even if they were going faster than that. The data is unreliable.

Is there a study of vaccinated versus unvaccinated people to see if vaccines are safe and effective? No. Who would pay for that?
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Al Soto says:
March 8, 2018 at 8:08 pm
There is a vaccinated vs unvaccinated study now – http://info.cmsri.org/the-driven-researcher-blog/vaccinated-vs.-unvaccinated-guess-who-is-sicker

Reply
 henry says:
March 9, 2018 at 10:33 am
Now that is interesting. Hopefully, this will result in a larger study where the results cannot be ignored by the general public.
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 From Quebec says:
March 8, 2018 at 4:49 pm
Nature has provided everything we need to cure our bodies and to stay healthy..

Modern medicine does not like that. Because, if we are cured, they do not make money, they have to keep us sick. I believe that is the only reason why they hate and criticize alternative medicines.
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 girlsfromthe says:
March 9, 2018 at 7:39 am
Plain and simple..plants cannot be patented so are hijacked by immoral systems to make money. Period.
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 Ozzie Thinker says:
March 9, 2018 at 10:38 pm
This is interesting, Jon.

I realise point was a different one, but don’t you see the power of commerce?

Excellent article.
Best

OT
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Darrin says:
March 12, 2018 at 12:53 pm
I wonder if the powers that be are using this case to demonize and eventually the ban the natural health supplement Melatonin which has many reported benefits. I’ve never used the supplement but I’m suspicious of the intent the story and would like to hear others thoughts. This story is also displayed on the front page of a major Canadian newspaper so they definitely want this story to be read in Canada as well.
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Kevin Japanangka says:
March 12, 2018 at 6:05 pm
So, what now becomes of those who were prosecuted, are being castigated and prosecuted, and heavily fined (Corruption), or jailed, or as we now find, those who passed away due to the stress of being hounded by an uneducated mass of corporate thugs?
I have within my power, as we all do, the authority to bring these corporate thugs to justice, via the International Criminal Court, on crimes against humanity, including Genocide, Infanticide, Murder, Rape, Pedophilia, and most of all, the False & Misleading Statements and Perjurious Claims against Natural medicines.
I am totally confident knowing Almighty Yahweh and Yah’shua will surely guide us and see to it their days are numbered.
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Natural Woman says:
March 26, 2018 at 12:34 pm
Please keep us posted!
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Kevin Japanangka says:
March 12, 2018 at 6:10 pm
By the way, has anyone EVER come across any written Law, Code, Rule, or Regulation which gives “rights”, to anyone synthesizing Natural-Organic-Anything????
If so, I wish to see it, read it, syntax it, disqualify it, then prosecute those who wrote it into any type of Charter, Rule, Code, or Law….OK?
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Lynda Luka says:
May 9, 2018 at 12:55 pm
Hi my name is Lynda. I got your site info from listening to The Hagmann Report.
Thank you for the concise and honest info that you expose for the public.
I wonder if you have info on health concerning CBD oil for eyes and pain. I watched my family members literally die from allopathic medicine and I am choosing to use alternatives.

I think people, such as yourself are brave and deserving of much respect. My Email add is […]

https://jonrappoport.wordpress.com/2018/03/08/shocking-victory-for-proponents-of-alternative-medicine/
If you do have the requested info pls send it to me. Also do you have a radio show?

[...] Thanks again.

Lyn
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